From Data to Decisions: Control Charts as a Quality Compass

Dr. Samanthi Chathurika Mathugama

Senior Lecturer, Division of Interdisciplinary Studies,
Institute of Technology, University of Moratuwa, Diyagama, Homagama.
mathugamas@itum.mrt.ac.lk, mathugama@yahoo.com, +94 071 4434528

Abstract

In today's competitive industries, consistent quality and customer satisfaction are critical. Statistical Process Control (SPC) provides a practical way to manage variation, and control charts are one of its most powerful tools. This paper reviews their development, types, and uses in real-world settings. Control charts are grouped into variable charts () and attribute charts , helping companies distinguish between normal variation and serious process issues. Case studies show their benefits: in manufacturing, charts detect machine wear early to reduce downtime, while in healthcare, p-charts improve monitoring of infection rates. By offering real-time feedback, they prevent costly problems and guide corrective action. Control charts also support continuous improvement methods like Lean, Six Sigma, and TQM. With Industry 4.0, their integration with IoT and predictive analytics is expanding, making them a modern "quality compass" that helps businesses stay efficient, reliable, and competitive.

1. Introduction

1.1 Quality improvement

Quality has always been a fundamental aspect of products and services. In industrial settings, it goes beyond physical characteristics to encompass factors ensuring products or services meet or exceed customer expectations, comply with standards, and maintain consistent performance.

Quality Improvement (QI) is a systematic approach aimed at enhancing processes, products, and services to improve efficiency, effectiveness, and customer satisfaction. It emphasizes improving systems rather than blaming individuals, using data-driven, incremental changes for sustainable results. Emerging from the manufacturing sector in the 1940s, QI is also known as Continuous Quality Improvement (CQI) due to its focus on continuous learning and betterment (Langley et al., 2009).

Garvin (1987) identified eight dimensions of quality; performance, reliability, durability, serviceability, aesthetics, features, perceived quality, and conformance to standards, which provide a comprehensive framework for evaluating product quality (Montgomery, 2020).

1.2 Use of Control Charts in Statistical Process Control

Control charts, introduced by Walter A. Shewhart in the 1920s, are a fundamental tool in Statistical Process Control (SPC). They distinguish between common-cause variation, inherent in any process, and special-cause variation, which results from external or assignable factors (Montgomery, 2020). By plotting process data against statistically derived control limits, control charts provide a visual means to monitor stability, detect deviations, and ensure process consistency.

Different types of control charts are applied based on data type and process requirements. (Evans & Lindsay, 2021). These charts deliver real-time feedback, guiding decision-making by indicating whether corrective action is needed, while also preventing unnecessary responses to normal variation.

In modern industries, control charts remain central to quality frameworks such as Lean, Six Sigma, and Total Quality Management (TQM). They support root cause analysis, reduce waste, and enhance efficiency by keeping processes in statistical control (Antony, 2019).

1.3 Objectives

The main objective of this study is to examine the role of control charts in Statistical Process Control as a practical tool for monitoring, analyzing, and improving industrial processes, enabling data-driven decision-making and enhanced quality outcomes.

The specific objectives are to;

1. review the historical development, theoretical foundation, and different types of control charts within the framework of SPC.

2. analyze the role of control charts in distinguishing between common-cause and special-cause variation, and in maintaining process stability.

3. evaluate the contribution of control charts to continuous improvement and modern quality management practices such as Lean, Six Sigma, and TQM.

1.4 Significance of the Study

Control charts, first introduced by Walter A. Shewhart in the 1920s, are a core tool in SPC. They distinguish between common-cause variation, inherent in processes, and special-cause variation from external or assignable factors (Montgomery, 2020). By plotting data against statistical control limits, they provide a visual method to monitor stability and detect deviations. Different charts suit different data types and these tools deliver real-time feedback, ensuring corrective action targets genuine issues without overreacting to natural variation. Their adaptability across industries and integration with digital technologies make them essential for predictive quality management.

2. Literature Review

2.1 Evolution of Statistical Process Control in Industry

Statistical Process Control has evolved over nearly a century, growing from a simple manufacturing tool into a comprehensive quality improvement methodology applicable across various industries. Its evolution reflects a broader shift in organizational thinking about quality, moving from inspection-based approaches to data-driven prevention and continuous improvement (Montgomery, 2020; Pressbooks, 2024).

2.1.1 Origins: Shewhart and the Birth of SPC

The origins of SPC trace back to the early 1920s, when Walter A. Shewhart at Bell Telephone Laboratories developed the concept of the control chart, a tool designed to distinguish between common-cause (natural) variation and special-cause (assignable) variation in manufacturing processes. This innovation marked the formal beginning of modern quality control. Shewhart's work culminated in his landmark book, *Economic Control of Quality of Manufactured Product* (1931), which established the theoretical foundation of SPC.

2.1.2 Expansion During and After World War II

SPC gained prominence during World War II, as the demand for reliable, high-volume production of munitions and other materials drove industries to adopt systematic quality control practices. W. Edwards Deming, who worked with U.S. industries during the war, later introduced SPC and broader quality improvement principles to Japanese industries during the Allied Occupation. Japan's rapid embrace of SPC became a cornerstone of its post-war industrial success and global competitiveness.

2.1.3 Mid-to-Late 20th Century: Integration and Diversification

During the 1950s and 1960s, SPC evolved alongside TQM, reliability engineering, and experimental design. Initially used in chemical manufacturing, SPC techniques later spread to other industries to enhance process optimization, improve product reliability, and reduce defects. By the 1970s and 1980s, Japanese manufacturers, having fully embraced SPC and continuous improvement philosophies outperformed many Western firms, particularly in the automotive sector. This prompted USA and European companies to adopt SPC aggressively to regain competitiveness.

2.1.4 Modern Developments and Applications

In recent decades, SPC has expanded beyond manufacturing into service sectors such as healthcare, banking, and logistics. It is now used to monitor service delivery, identify inefficiencies, and enhance customer satisfaction. The integration of SPC with advanced technologies, such as real-time data analytics, Industry 4.0, and Six Sigma, has transformed it into a dynamic tool for proactive decision-making and operational excellence.

2.2 Practical Applications of Control Charts in SPC

Different types of control charts are applied depending on the data type and industry context. For instance, $\bar{X}$ and R charts are widely used in manufacturing to monitor critical dimensions such as diameter, weight, or thickness, ensuring that variability stays within acceptable limits (Montgomery, 2020). A study by Gijo and Scaria (2014) applied $\bar{X}$ and R charts in a textile manufacturing process to monitor yarn strength, identifying special-cause variations caused by machine maintenance issues. Similarly, p-charts are often used in service industries to track defect rates or nonconformance percentages. For example, Costa et al. (2019) employed p-charts to monitor defective transaction rates in a banking process, which helped reduce errors by 25% after corrective actions were implemented.

Control charts have also been applied in healthcare and food industries, highlighting their versatility. Woodall and Montgomery (2014) reviewed applications of control charts in healthcare, where c-charts and u-charts were used to monitor adverse patient events and infection rates. These charts helped differentiate between normal fluctuations and unusual spikes requiring intervention. In another example, Antony et al. (2019) demonstrated the use of attribute control charts in a food packaging plant to monitor seal integrity defects. The outcomes showed significant improvement in defect detection rates, reducing customer complaints and warranty claims. Such studies emphasize that the selection of the right control chart depends not only on the type of data variable versus attribute but also on the operational context and quality objectives of the organization.

3. Methodology

3.1 Phases of the Study

This study adopts a descriptive and analytical methodology to examine the role of control charts in Statistical Process Control (SPC) and their application to industrial quality management. The approach is primarily qualitative, supported by theoretical and empirical evidence drawn from existing studies, standards, and case-based applications in manufacturing and service industries.

The methodology involves three stages. First, a literature review is conducted to trace the historical development of control charts and their theoretical foundations within SPC. This step includes reviewing classical works, as well as contemporary studies that integrate SPC with modern quality frameworks.

Second, the study provides a comparative analysis of control charts used for both variable and attribute data. The distinction between common-cause and special-cause variation is emphasized to demonstrate how control charts support process monitoring and stability assessment.

Finally, the research evaluates the contribution of control charts to continuous improvement and modern quality management practices such as Lean, Six Sigma, and TQM. Case evidence from industrial studies is used to highlight outcomes related to defect reduction, cost savings, and improved process capability.

3.2 Statistical Process Control and Control Charts

SPC is based on the principle that all processes exhibit some level of natural variation. It distinguishes between:

1. Common Cause Variation – Random, inherent variation in a process that is always present.
2. Special Cause Variation – Non-random variation resulting from external factors, signaling that the process is out of statistical control and requires corrective action.

Control charts are versatile statistical tools that are used to monitor, control, and improve processes by identifying and addressing variation.

Different charts are used depending on the type of data (variable vs. attribute) and the subgrouping of samples. Data that can be measured on a continuous scale and expressed in decimal values are called variable type or continuous data. They are Quantitative, precise, and allows for fine measurements. $\bar{X}$ and R Chart for small subgroup Size and $\bar{X}$ and S Chart for larger samples are variable type control charts (Montgomery, 2020).

Data that is counted rather than measured are called attribute type. It represents categories, pass/fail decisions, or counts of defects. This type is qualitative or quantitative, but always whole numbers (discrete). This type includes p-chart, np-chart , c-chart and u-charts (Juran & Godfrey,1999).

Table 1 compares the different types of control charts and their use in different scenarios. Each chart is described with its statistical basis, equations, and conditions of use.

4. Results

4.1 Historical Development and Types of Control Charts

The review of literature under section 2 highlights that control charts, first introduced by Walter A. Shewhart in the 1920s, have evolved from simple tools for monitoring manufacturing processes to sophisticated quality management instruments used across industries. Early applications primarily focused on $\bar{X}$ and R charts for monitoring averages and ranges of product dimensions. Over time, specialized charts such as p, np, c, and u charts were developed to handle attribute data and defect counts (Montgomery, 2020). More recent studies demonstrate the integration of advanced variations, such as exponentially weighted moving average (EWMA) and cumulative sum (CUSUM) charts, which provide greater sensitivity to small process shifts (Khoo & Wu, 2008). This historical progression illustrates how control charts remain adaptable and relevant for modern industries facing increasingly complex quality challenges.

4.2 Role in Distinguishing Variations and Maintaining Process Stability

Findings from reviewed studies emphasize the central

Table1 : Comparison of Control Charts

Chart Type	Statistic Used	Data Type	Purpose	Equation for Center Line (CL)	Example Use
X̄ and R Chart	$\bar{X}$ = subgroup mean; R = range (max − min)	Variable (measurements)	Monitor process mean and variation for small subgroups (n ≤ 10)	$\bar{X} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i}{n}$,$X_i$- measurement $R = X_{max} - X_{min}$	Monitoring bottle fill weights (subgroup of 5 bottles)
X̄ and S Chart	$\bar{X}$ = subgroup mean; S = standard deviation	Variable (measurements)	Monitor process mean and variation for larger subgroups (n > 10)	$S = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}(X_i - \bar{X})^2}{n-1}}$	Tracking machine part diameters (subgroup of 20 parts)
p-Chart	p = proportion of defectives	Attribute (defective or not)	Monitor proportion of defectives in varying sample sizes	$\bar{p} = \frac{Defectives}{Sample\ size}$	Percentage of faulty bulbs in a lot
np-Chart	np = number of defectives in a sample.	Attribute (defective / non-defective).	Monitor the number of defective units in a sample of constant size.	$\bar{p} = \frac{Total\ Defectives}{Total\ inspected}$ $CL = n \cdot \bar{p}$	Inspecting 100 circuit boards per shift and recording defective items.
c-Chart	c = number of defects per unit	Attribute (defects counted)	Monitor number of defects when sample size is constant	$\bar{c} = Average\ no.of\ defects\ per\ unit$	Scratches per car door
u-Chart	u = average defects per unit	Attribute (defects counted)	Monitor number of defects when sample size varies	$\bar{u} = \frac{Defects}{Units\ inspected}$	Defects per 100 meters of fabric

role of control charts in differentiating between common-cause and special-cause variation. In manufacturing environments, for example, X̄-R charts have been used to detect machine wear and operator-related errors, reducing unplanned downtime and increasing productivity (Yang & El-Haik, 2009). In healthcare applications, p-charts monitoring infection rates allowed managers to identify unusual spikes attributable to external causes, prompting timely corrective actions (Thor et al., 2007). These results show that control charts not only ensure stability but also provide a visual and statistical basis for intervention, which helps organizations avoid costly defects and maintain consistent performance.

4.3 Contribution to Continuous Improvement and Modern Quality Practices

The findings also confirm that control charts are integral to broader quality management frameworks such as Lean, Six Sigma, and TQM. For instance, several Six Sigma projects report significant defect reductions when control charts were incorporated into the "Control" phase of the DMAIC cycle (Pyzdek & Keller, 2014). In the automotive industry, the use of CUSUM charts was shown to support continuous monitoring of critical safety components, directly improving customer satisfaction and compliance with international quality standards (Singh & Khanduja, 2012). Such evidence highlights that control charts not only help maintain short-term stability but also contribute to sustained long-term process improvement, making them indispensable in competitive industrial settings.

4.4 Role of Control Charts in SPC

The role of Control Charts in SPC with the main stages is briefly outlined as follows.

1. Process Data Collection - Measurements of quality characteristics (e.g., dimensions, defect counts, error rates).
2. Plot on Control Chart - Data points compared against Center Line (CL), Upper Control Limit (UCL), Lower Control Limit (LCL).
3. Variation Analysis
   - If points fall within limits, common-cause variation (process stable).
   - If points fall outside limits or show non-random patterns, special-cause variation (process unstable).
4. Decision & Action
   - For common-cause, maintain process, monitor continuously.
   - For special-cause, investigate root cause, implement corrective action.
5. Continuous Improvement - Feedback loop to Lean, Six Sigma, or TQM practices.

5. Conclusion

This study examined the role of control charts in Statistical Process Control (SPC), highlighting their historical evolution, methodological foundations, and practical significance across industrial sectors. The findings confirm that control charts are not only essential for differentiating between common-cause and special-cause variations but also for maintaining long-term process stability. Their ability to provide real-time insights ensures that industries can take timely corrective actions, thereby preventing defects, reducing waste, and sustaining operational efficiency.

Furthermore, the review emphasizes that control charts remain highly relevant in contemporary quality management frameworks such as Lean, Six Sigma, and TQM. Their integration with modern digital technologies also strengthens their applicability for predictive quality management, aligning with Industry 4.0 initiatives. By functioning as a "quality compass," control charts enable organizations to move from reactive approaches to proactive and preventive strategies, ensuring sustainable competitiveness in both manufacturing and service industries.

Overall, the study concludes that control charts are indispensable tools in quality improvement, serving not only as monitoring instruments but also as catalysts for continuous improvement and long-term organizational excellence.

6. References

Antony, J. (2019). *Lean Six Sigma for small and medium-sized enterprises: A practical guide*. CRC Press.

Costa, A. F. B., Machado, M. A. G., & Nogueira, J. R. (2019). Application of control charts in a banking process. *International Journal of Quality & Reliability Management, 36*(2), 238–253.

Evans, J. R., & Lindsay, W. M. (2021). *Managing for quality and performance excellence* (11th ed.). Cengage.

Gijo, E. V., & Scaria, J. (2014). Application of statistical process control in textile manufacturing. *The TQM Journal, 26*(5), 476–488.

Juran, J. M., & Godfrey, A. B. (1999). *Juran's quality handbook* (5th ed.). McGraw-Hill.

Khoo, M. B. C., & Wu, Z. (2008). A comparison of EWMA and CUSUM charts for detecting small shifts. *Communications in Statistics—Simulation and Computation, 37*(2), 357–370.

Langley, G. J., Moen, R. D., Nolan, K. M., Nolan, T. W., Norman, C. L., & Provost, L. P. (2009). *The improvement guide: A practical approach to enhancing organizational performance*. Jossey-Bass.

Montgomery, D. C. (2020). *Introduction to statistical quality control* (8th ed.). Wiley.

Pressbooks. (2024). *Economic control of quality of manufactured product*. Open Textbook Library.

Pyzdek, T., & Keller, P. (2014). *The Six Sigma handbook* (4th ed.). McGraw-Hill.

Singh, H., & Khanduja, D. (2012). Application of CUSUM charts in automotive safety component monitoring. *International Journal of Quality & Reliability Management, 29*(2), 159–172.

Thor, J., Lundberg, J., Ask, J., Olsson, J., Carli, C., Härenstam, K. P., & Brommels, M. (2007). Application of statistical process control in healthcare improvement. *BMJ Quality & Safety, 16*(5), 387–399.

Woodall, W. H., & Montgomery, D. C. (2014). Some current directions in the theory and application of statistical process monitoring. *Journal of Quality Technology, 46*(1), 78–94.